1. Date of Submission: January 29, 2015

2. Agency: Department of Justice

3. Bureau: Bureau of Prisons (BOP)

4. Name of Investment: Administrative USP El Reno, OK

5. Justification for Investment:

U.S. Penitentiary (USP) El Reno (Western), OK will be designed as a 1,600 bed Administrative high security prison with a 256 bed work camp (minimum security). The project will be awarded as a design/build project using a negotiated procurement which allows contractors input on all aspects of the project. USP El Reno received partial site and planning funds in FY 2001. An estimated construction completion date cannot be determined for this project, due to uncertainty of future funds and insufficient available resources.

In addition to housing general population high security inmates, Administrative USP El Reno will also be used by the BOP to house a number of supermax inmates and other inmates who have proven to be difficult to manage and inmates who are designated for Special Management Units.

This investment will provide a Federal correctional facility which directly supports the BOP's mission to protect society by confining offenders in the controlled environments of prisons and community-based facilities that are safe, humane, cost-efficient, and appropriately secure, and that provide work and other self-improvement opportunities to assist offenders in becoming lawabiding citizens. It supports the Department of Justice Strategic Goal 3: Ensure and Support the Fair, Impartial, Efficient, and Transparent Administration of Justice at the Federal, State, Local, Tribal and International Levels.

6. Accountability

a. Business Sponsor

i. Name
 ii. Title
 iii. Telephone
 iv. Email
 Mitch Miskimins
 Deputy Chief of Capacity Planning & Construction Branch
 (202) 514 – 9582
 MMiskimins@bop.gov

b. Program/Project Manager

i. Name Mitch Miskimins

ii. Telephone <u>(202) 514 - 9582</u>

iii. Email <u>MMiskimins@bop.gov</u>

iv. Qualifications (select one)

 The project manager has been validated according to Federal Acquisition Certification for Program and Project Managers (FAC-P/PM) or Defense Acquisition Workforce Improvement Act (DAWIA) criteria as qualified for this investment.

 \underline{X}

- 2. The project manager's qualifications according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria are under review for this investment.
- 3. The project manager is assigned, but does not meet the requirements according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria.
- 4. The project manager is assigned, but the qualification status review has not yet started.
- 5. No project manager has yet been assigned to this investment.

7. Summary of Funding

Table 1: Summary of Funding									
	PY-1							BY+4	
	and	PY	CY	BY	BY+1	BY+2	BY+3	and	
	Earlier	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Beyond	Total
	(\$M)	(\$M)	(\$M)	(\$M)	(\$M)	(\$M)	(\$M)	(\$M)	(\$M)
Planning (a)	.1								.3
Acquisition (b)	3.3								418.1
Subtotal Planning &									
Acquisition									
(c)=(a)+(b)	3.4								418.4
Operation & Maintenance									
(d)									
Residual Value/Disposal Cost									
(e)									
Total (f)= (c)+(d)+ (e)	3.4								418.4

8. Acquisition Plan

a. Has an Acquisition Plan been developed?	<u>Yes</u>
b. If an Acquisition Plan has been developed, answer the following questions.	
i. Does the Acquisition Plan reflect the requirements of FAR Subpart 7.1?	<u>Yes</u>
ii. Was the Acquisition Plan approved in accordance with agency requirements?	<u>Yes</u>
iii. If the Plan was approved, enter the date of approval. Ma	<u>y 2000</u>
iv. Is the Acquisition Plan consistent with agency Strategic Sustainability	
Performance Plan?	<u>Yes</u>
v. Does the Acquisition Plan meet the requirement of EO 13423?	<u>Yes</u>
vi. Does the Acquisition Plan meet the requirement of EO 13514?	Yes

- c. If an Acquisition Plan has not been developed, provide a brief explanation. N/A
- d. Enter all (including non-Federal) current and planned contracts and task orders in Table 2. Completed contracts and task orders do not need to be listed. Total Value should Include option years. If a contract has not been awarded, estimates of dates, dollar values and any other information should be provided. Data definitions can be found at www.usapending.gov/learn?tab=FAQ#2.
- e. Do all Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID) and Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) PIID entries match www.USAspending.gov? N/A
- f. Do all Solicitation IDs match Fed BizOpps at www.fbo.gov?

N/A

g. If Earned Value Management is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders, provide a brief explanation.

Earned Value Management is considered a major system acquisition and is not utilized in BOP construction contracts.

Table 2: Contracts					
Field	Data Description	Contract 1	Contract 2	Contract X	
Contract Status	N/A				
Contracting Agency ID	15-X-1003				
Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	N/A				
Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	N/A				
Solicitation ID					
Alternative Financing	N				
EVM Required	N				
Ultimate Contract Value					
Type of Contract/Task Order (Pricing)	Design Build				
Is the Contract a Performance Based Service Acquisition					
(PBSA)?	N				
Effective Date					
Actual or Expected End Date of Contract/Task Order	N/A				
Extent Competed	Full & Open Competition				
Short Description of Acquisition	N/A				

9. Alternatives Analysis

a. Was an Alternatives Analysis conducted?

b. If an Alternatives Analysis was conducted, answer the following questions.

i. What is the date of the analysis?

May 2000

ii. How many alternatives were evaluated?

 $\frac{4}{\text{Yes}}$

iii. Did the analysis evaluate the costs and the benefits of each alternative?

iv. Briefly summarize the rationale for the selected alternative.

Constructing a new facility was the alternative determined to provide the greatest benefit to taxpayers and ultimately be more cost effective than the other alternatives.

c. If an Alternatives Analysis was not conducted, provide a brief explanation.

N/A

10. Risk Management

a. Has a Risk Management Plan been developed?

Yes

b. If a Risk Management Plan has been developed, answer the following questions.

i. What is the date of the plan?

April 2011

ii. Does the plan include a list of risks?

<u>Yes</u>

iii. Does the plan include the probability of occurrence of each risk?

<u>Yes</u>

iv. Does the plan include the impact of each risk?

Yes V

v. Does the plan include a mitigation strategy for each risk?

<u>Yes</u>

vi. Does the plan include actively managing risk throughout the lifecycle?

<u>Yes</u>

c. If a Risk Management Plan has not been developed, provide a brief explanation.

N/A

11. Performance Information

a. Enter the strategic goals and the corresponding performance measures in Table 3.

High Security

Table3: Performance Information					
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Performance Baseline	Performance Goal	Actual Result	
2012	2.2	Crowding by	510/	520/	
2013	3.3	security level Crowding by	51%	52%	
2014	3.3	security level	53%	52%	
		Crowding by			
2015	3.3	security level	45%	N/A	
		Crowding by			
2016	3.3	security level	31%	N/A	

b. Explanations:

The table represents inmate crowding by project's security level, by fiscal year.

12. Earned Value Management (EVM)

N/A