

## U.S. Department of Justice

**Executive Office for Immigration Review** 

Board of Immigration Appeals
Office of the Clerk

5107 Leesburg Pike, Suite 2000 Falls Church, Virginia 22041

(b) (6)
A(b) (6)
DHS/ICE
PO BOX (b) (6)
7488 CALZADA DE LA FUENTE
SAN DIEGO, CA 92143

DHS/ICE Office of Chief Counsel - OTM 880 Front St, Room 2246 San Diego, CA 92101

Name: (b) (6)

A (b) (6)

Date of this notice: 9/28/2018

Enclosed is a copy of the Board's decision in the above-referenced case. If the attached decision orders that you be removed from the United States or affirms an Immigration Judge's decision ordering that you be removed, any petition for review of the attached decision must be filed with and received by the appropriate court of appeals within 30 days of the date of this decision.

Sincerely,

Donna Carr

Chief Clerk

Donne Carr

Enclosure

Panel Members: Mann, Ana

Userteam: Docket



## **U.S. Department of Justice**Executive Office for Immigration Review

Decision of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Falls Church, Virginia 22041

File:

(b) (6)

- San Diego, CA

Date:

SEP 2 8 2019

In re: (b)

(b) (6)

IN BOND PROCEEDINGS

**APPEAL** 

ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT: Pro se

APPLICATION: Redetermination of custody status

The respondent, a native and citizen of India, appeals the bond decision of the Immigration Judge, dated June 29, 2018, granting the respondent release on bond conditioned upon the presentation of a valid passport to confirm his identity, and upon the posting of \$17,500. The Immigration Judge conducted the hearing pursuant to section 236(a) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1226(a). The Immigration Judge issued a bond memorandum setting forth the reasons for the bond decision on July 18, 2018. The appeal will be dismissed.

We review Immigration Judges' findings of fact for clear error, but questions of law, discretion, and judgment, and all other issues in appeals, de novo. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.1(d)(3).

On appeal, the respondent argues that the bond amount is too high, but he did not present financial documents to indicate what the bond amount should be. Further, he did not submit evidence of a valid passport. Absent arguments or evidence to support his position, we will not reverse the Immigration Judge's decision. Accordingly, the following order will be entered.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.

FOR THE BOARD