## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff.

V.

CITY OF ECORSE, MICHIGAN,

Defendant.

Case: 2:08-cv-13100 Judge: Edmunds, Nancy G MJ: Pepe, Steven D Filed: 07-18-2008 At 09:00 AM

CMP USA V CITY OF ECOURSEEG

## COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, the United States of America, alleges:

- This action is brought on behalf of the United States to enforce the provisions of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, et seq. ("Title VII").
- This Court has jurisdiction of the action under 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f), 28 U.S.C.
   § 1331 and 28 U.S.C. § 1345.
- Defendant, City of Ecorse ("City" or "Ecorse"), is a political subdivision of the
   State of Michigan created pursuant to the laws of the State of Michigan.
- Defendant is a "person" within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 2000e(a) and an "employer" within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 2000e(b).
- 5. The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") received a timely charge (Charge No. 471-2006-01633) filed by Katina Haynes against defendant on or about May 8, 2006, in which she alleged, inter alia, that she had been discriminated against on the basis of sex when she was subjected to sexual harassment by her supervisor, Gary Sammons. Pursuant to Section 706 of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5, the EEOC investigated the charge, found

reasonable cause to believe, inter alia, that Haynes and several of her co-workers were subjected to sexual harassment in violation Title VII, attempted unsuccessfully to achieve through conciliation a voluntary resolution of the charge, and subsequently referred the matter to the Department of Justice.

- All conditions precedent to the filing of suit have been performed or have occurred.
- Defendant has discriminated against Katina Haynes and Tresa Thomas, a similarly situated individual formerly employed by the City, on the basis of their sex, female, in violation of Section 703(a) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a), among other ways, by:
  - (a) Subjecting them to sexual harassment by a supervisor, Gary Sammons, thereby creating and maintaining a hostile work environment that adversely affected the terms, conditions and privileges of their employment; and
  - (b) Failing or refusing to take appropriate action to prevent and promptly correct the discriminatory treatment and its effects.
- 8. The sexual harassment to which Sammons subjected Haynes and Thomas occurred on a regular basis from mid to late fall 2005 and continued through approximately April of 2006. The sexual harassment by Sammons consisted of, among other actions:
  - (a) Requesting sex of Haynes;
  - (b) Conditioning his permission for Haynes to attend out-of-town training on Haynes' acquiescence to his demands for sexual favors, including sharing a one-bed hotel room;

- (c) Repeatedly asking Haynes and Thomas out on dates;
- (d) Directing sexually-charged comments at Haynes and Thomas with respect to their appearance, including the appearance of their breasts; and
- (e) Touching Haynes and Thomas in a sexual and offensive manner.
- In or about March 2006, Haynes notified Larry Salisbury, then the City Mayor, of the sexual harassment to which she had been subjected by Sammons; and the City failed to take appropriate action.
- 10. Defendant's failure or refusal to take appropriate action to prevent and correct promptly the sexual harassment and its effects consisted of, among other things:
  - (a) Failing or refusing to prevent Sammons' sexual harassment of his subordinates despite having notice of such harassment;
  - (b) Failing or refusing to correct promptly Sammons' sexual harassment of his subordinates despite having notice of such harassment; and
  - (c) Failing or refusing to maintain adequate policies and reporting procedures with respect to employment discrimination, including sexual harassment;

WHEREFORE, the United States prays that the Court grant the following relief:

- (a) Enjoin defendant from:
  - subjecting employees to sexual harassment;
  - (2) failing or refusing to develop and implement appropriate and effective policies designed to prevent and correct promptly any sexual harassment that occurs;

- (b) Award compensatory damages to Haynes and Thomas, to fully compensate each for the injuries caused by defendant's discriminatory conduct, pursuant to and within the statutory limitations of Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981a; and
- (c) Award such additional relief as justice may require, together with plaintiff's costs and disbursements in this action.

## JURY DEMAND

The United States hereby demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981(a).

GRACE CHUNG BECKER

Acting Assistant Attorney General

Civil Rights Division

By:

JOHN M. GADZICHOWSKI (WI Bar No. 1014294)

Acting Chief

Employment Litigation Section

Civil Rights Division

KAREN D. WOODARD (MD Bar - No Number Issued)

Deputy Chief

HECTOR F. RUIZ, JR. (TX Bar No. 24029814)

Senior Trial Attorney

Employment Litigation Section

Civil Rights Division

United States Department of Justice

950 Constitution Avenue, NW

Patrick Henry Building, Room 4036

Washington, DC 20530

(202) 514-9694 (202) 514-1005 (fax)

Attorneys for Plaintiff United States

For Purposes of Service:

Judith E. Levy (Mich/Bar No. P55882)

Assistant U.S. Attorney

Eastern District of Michigan

211 West Fort Street, Suite 2001

Detroit, Michigan 48226

Office: (313) 226-9727 Fax: (313) 226-3271

## CIVIL COVER SHEET County in which action arose Wayne

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

L (a) PLAINTIFFS UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		DEFENDANTS CITY OF ECORSE, MICHIGAN  County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Wayne (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.		
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)  II U.S. Government		CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES(Place as "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only)  TF DEF  3 4 0 4  Case: 2:08-cv-13100		
Defendant     O 4 Diversity     Defendant		Judge: Edmunds, Nancy G  MJ: Pepe, Steven D  Filed: 07-18-2008 At 09:00 AM  CMP USA V CITY OF ECOURSEEG		
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	- Omi Cort Cort	0. 2000	
CONTRACT  110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Maller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicase Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Encl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteras 's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY    310 Airplane   362 Personal Injury     315 Airplane Product Liability   365 Personal Injury     320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability   368 Asbeatas Personal Injury Product Liability   140 Marine   PERSONAL PROPERTY     345 Marine Product   370 Other Fresud   371 Truth in Lending   355 Motor Vehicle   385 Property Damage   Product Liability   385 Property Damage   385 Prope	620 Other Food & Drug   625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881   630 Liquor Laws   640 R.R. & Truck   650 Airline Regs.   660 Occupational Safety/Health   690 Other   LABOR   710 Fair Labor Standards Act   720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations   730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act   790 Other Labor Litigation   391 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act   IMMIGRATION   100 DESCRIPTION   100	BANKRUPTCY  422 Appeal 28 USC 158  423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS  830 Patent  840 Trademark  SOCIAL SECURITY  861 HIA (1395ff)  862 Black Lung (923)  863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))  864 SSID Title XVI  865 RSI (405(g))  FEDERAL TAX SUITS  870 Taxes (U.S. Plaimiff or Defendant)  871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES  400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 700 Racketzer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 830 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Commitmiocality of State Statutes
🗆 1 Original 🔲 2 F	State Court Appellate Court	Reopened snother		n Judgment
VI. CAUSE OF ACT	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, et seq. Brief description of cause: emplyoment discrimination	ming (De not cite jurisdictions		
VII. REQUESTED II COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	DEMAND \$	JURY DEMAND	y if demanded in complaint: D:
VIII. RELATED CA IF ANY	(See Instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
July 15, 2008	447 BUTTONE OF THE	DRNEY OF RECORD HECTOR	F. Ruz,	Jr
RECEIPT #	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP	,UDGE_	MAG. JI	UDGE